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$65 \%$
of the population are visual learners

of the population are visual learners

information transmitted to the brain is visual




Temperature Concern on SRA JOINTS
$27 J_{A N} 1986$


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SRM } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Cross Sectional yizer |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Erosion | Perimeter | Nominal |
|  | Depth | Affected | Dia. |
|  | (in.) | (deg) | (in.) |
| 22A | None | None |  |
| 22A | NONE | NONE | 0.280 |
| 15A | 0.010 | 154.0 | 0.280 |
| 15B | 0.038 | 130.0 | 0.280 |
| 158 | None | 45.0 | 0.280 |
| 138 | 0.028 | 110.0 | 0.28 |
| 11A | None | None | 0.280 |
| 10A | 0.040 | 217.0 | 0.280 |
| 28 | 0.053 | 116.0 | 0.280 |

$\left.\begin{array}{ccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Top Yiew } \\ \text { Length Of } \\ \text { Max Erosion } \\ \text { (in.) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total Heat } \\ \text { Affected Length } \\ \text { (in.) }\end{array} & \end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Clocking } \\ \text { Location } \\ \text { (deg) }\end{array}\right]$
*Hot gas path detected in putty. Indication of heat on 0 -ring, but no damage.
**Soot behind primary 0 -ring. **Soot behind primary 0 -ring, heat affected secondary 0 -ring.

Clocking location of leak check port - 0 deg.
OTHER SRM-15 FIELD JOINTS HAD NO BLOWHOLES IN PUTTY AND NO SOOT NEAR OR BEYOND THE PRIMARY O-RING.

SRM-22 FORWARD FIELD JOINT HAD PUTTY PATH TO PRIMARY O-RING, BUT NO O-RING EROSION AND NO SOOT BLOWBY, OTHER SRM-22 FIELD JOINTS HAD NO BLOWHOLES IN PUTTY.

PRIMARY CONCERNS -

FIELD JOINT - HIGHEST CONCERN

- EROSION PENETRATION OF PRIMARY SEAL REQUIRES RELIABLE SECONDARY SEAL FOR PRESSURE INTEGRITY
- IGNITION TRANSIENT - (0-600 MS)

0 ( $0-170$ MS)HIGH PROBABILITY OF RELIABLE SECONDARY SEAL
0 ( $170-330$ MS) REDUCED PROBABILITY OF RELIABLE SECONDARY SEAL

- (330-600 MS) HIGH PROBABILITY OF NO SECONDARY SEAL CAPABILITY

0 STEADY STATE - (600 MS - 2 MINUTES)

- IF EROSION PENETRATES PRIMARY 0-RING SEAL - HIGH PROBABILITY OF NO SECONDARY SEAL CAPABILITY
- BENCH TESTING SHOWED O-RING NOT CAPABLE OF MAINTAINING CONTACT WITH METAL PARTS GAP OPENING RATE TO MEOP
0 BENCH TESTING SHOHED CAPABILITY TO MAINTAIN O-RING CONTACT DURING INITIAL PHASE (0-170 MS) OF TRANSIENT


UNPRESSURIZED JOINT - NO ROTATION
SEGMENT CENTERLINE


BLOW BY HISTORY
SRM- 15 WORST BLOW-BY

- 2 CASE JOInTS $\left(80^{\circ}\right)$, $\left(110^{\circ}\right)$ ARC
- MUCH WORSE VISUALLY THAN SRM-22

SRM 22 BLOW-BY

- 2CASE JOINTS (30-40 )

SRM-13A, 15, 16A, 18, 23A 24A

- NOZZLE BLOW-BY

HISTORY OF O-RING TEMPERATURES (DEGREES -F)



CONCLUSIONS:

| TEMPERATURE OF O-RING IS NOT ONLY PARAMETER |
| :--- |
| CONTROLLING BLOW-BY |
| SRM IS WITH BLOW-BY HAD AN O-RING TEMP AT $53^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| $S R M ~ 2 Z ~ W I T H ~ B L O W-B Y ~ H A D ~ A N ~ O-R I N G ~ T E M P ~ A T ~$ |
| $5^{\circ} F$ |
| FOUR DEVELOPMENT MOTORS WITH NO BLOW -BY |
| WERE TESTED AT O-RING TEMP OF $477^{\circ}$ TO $52^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |

DEVELOPMENT MOTORS HAD PUTTY PACKING WHICH RESULTED IN BETTER PERFORMANCE

- AT ABOUT $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ BLOW-BY COULD BE EXPERIENCED IN CASE JOINTS

O TEMP FOR SRM 25 ON $1-28-86$ LAUNCH WILL
BE $29^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad 9 \mathrm{AM}$

$$
38^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad 2 P M
$$

O HAVE NO DATA THAT WOULD INDICATE SRO 25 IS DIFFERENT THAN SRM IS OTHER THAN TEMP

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- OARING TEMP MUST BE $\geq 53{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ AT LAUNCH

DEVELOPMENT MOTORS AT $47^{\circ}$ TO $52^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ WITH
PUTTY PACKING HAD NO BLOW-BY SRA 15 (THE BEST SIMULATION) WORKED AT $53^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

- PROJECT AMBIENT CONDITIONS (TEMP \& WIND) TO DETERMINE LAUNCH TIME

History of O-Ring Damage in Field Joints


[^0]History of O-Ring Damage in Field Joints (Cont)








## show. <br> don't tell.


$c$

$\mathrm{N}-662$
GREETINGS from

Urban3
Joe Minicozzi, AICP
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In the 70 's and 80 's


## Asheville's de facto motto was:

## "That will never work here - don't even try."





# In God we trust; creryone clse, bring data. 

Mayor Michael Bloomberg



Life is a game. money is how we keep seore.

## Asheville is $b>$

Life is a game. money is how we keep seore.


## What sa Give

## Land Production <br> 



Urban3

Land Consumed (Acres): 34.0Total Property Taxes/Acre:\$ 6,500City Retail Taxes/Acre:

## Urban3


Land Consumed (Acres): ..... 34.0
Total Property Taxes/Acre:\$ 6,500City Retail Taxes/Acre:\$47,500Residents per Acre:0.0Johs per Acre:5.9\$634,000

00.2
\$634,000

Urban 3

Land Consumed IAcres): ..... 34.0

00.2
Total Property Taxes/Acre:\$ 6,500City Retail Taxes/Acre:\$47,500


## \$634,000 <br> \$634,000



## Land Consumed CAcres): <br> Total Property Taxes/Acre:

34.0

City Retail Taxes/Acre:
\$ 6,500
$\$ 634,000$ $\mathbf{\$ 4 7 , 5 0 0} \mathbf{\$ 8 3 , 6 0 0}$

Residents per Acre:
0.0

Johs per Acre:
5.9
73.7

Land Consumed IAcres): 34.0

00.2Total Pronerty Taxes/Acre:City Retail Taxes/Acre:\$ 6,500\$634,000\$ 47,500 \$ 83,600Residents per Acre:$0.0 \quad 90.0$Johs per Acre:5.9




## What are the numbers for Buncombe County?






What are the numbers for Travis County?

1.1m-1.8m1.8m-3.1m
70.2m-193.9m $>193.9 \mathrm{~m}$

## Austin GBD




Sales per Acre (\$)
no data
< 32,600
32,601-82,400
82,401-168,000
168,001-436,000
> 436,000

## Austin CBD

78756
Rosedale and Burnet Road Area



Sales per Acre (\$)

|  | no data |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $<32,600$ |
|  | $32,601-82,400$ |
|  | $82,401-168,000$ |
|  | $168,001-436,000$ |
|  | $>436,000$ |



Metro Retail Sales Models








Network Distribution Methodology Lafayette Parish, LA

This is the relative necessity of all trips in the network.

## $17.8 x$

## $17.8 x$



"It's not where you live;
it's what you helieve."
Jared Bellerd Lafayette Coucilman

> "Ir's not where you live; it's what you helieve."


There is no such thing as an infrastructure fairy.
Kevin Blanchard
World's Greatest Public Works Director

> "Ir's not where you live; it's what you believe."


There is no such thing as an infrastructure fairy.
Kevin Blanchard
World's Greatest Public Works Director













## Your Median Household income

| 350\% |  |
| :---: | :---: |

Feet of pipe/person

## Your Median Household income


Feet of pipe/person

## Your Median Household income


\$27,700





What are the numbers for Charleston County?







(1)






| $\substack{\mathbb{N} \\ \stackrel{\pi}{\Sigma} \\ \text { in }}$ | Pre-1776 Taxable Value Per Acre |
| ---: | ---: |
| Charleston, SC MSA |  |





|  | Walmart | $>1776$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acres | 20.58 | 20.95 |
| Value | $\$ 18 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 344 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Value/Acre | $\$ 866,760$ | $\$ 16,440,700$ |
| County Taxes 2015 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 631,000$ |




|  | Walmart | $>1776$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acres | 20.58 | 20.95 |
| Value | $\$ 18 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 344 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Value/Acre | $\$ 866,760$ | $\$ 16,440,700$ |
| County Taxes 2015 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 631,000$ |




|  | Walmart | $>1776$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acres | 20.58 | 20.95 |
| Value | $\$ 18 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 344 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Value/Acre | $\$ 866,760$ | $\$ 16,440,700$ |
| County Taxes 2015 | $\$ 47,000$ | $\$ 631,000$ |



## 骨 <br> Charleston, SC MSA



|  | Walmart | $>1776$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acres | 20.58 | 20.95 |
| Value | $\$ 18 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 344 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Value/Acre | $\$ 866,760$ | $\$ 16,440,700$ |
| County Taxes 2015 | $\mathbf{\$ 4 7 , 0 0 0}$ | $\$ 631,000$ |






## show. <br> don't tell.

## Precision



Joe Minicozzi,alcp


A 493 kilogram object of organic matter traveling at a velocity of 33 feet per second ${ }^{2}$ will collide with your cranium in approximately 1.27 seconds!




## HBR <br> Guide to



By Nancy Duarte

Making the simple complicated is commonplace; making the complicated simple, awesomely simple, that's creativity.



[^0]:    MORTO THIONOL, IMC.
    Wasatch Operations
    na onmenton on thes page was paimanto to sumpont an oral paesertation
    ANO CANNOT BE CONSIDtALD COMPLETE WITHOUT THE OAAL DISCUSSIOM

